PROJECT 10073 RECORD

1. DATE - TIME GROUP	2. LOCATION			
10 Apr 67 19/02502	Minneapolis, Minnesota (Mult	Aple)		
a. source	10. CONCLUSION			
Civilian	BALLOON (Hot Air)			
1. NUMBER OF OBJECTS				
1-3				
S. LENGTH OF OBSERVATION	11. BRIEF SUMMARY AND ANALYSIS			
40 minutes	Object was round. About the size of a breadbasket. Orann			
. TYPE OF OBSERVATION	These were sighted at one time. Occasionally and stream of flames or sparks. Flame was estimated at various lengths. Travel was slower at lower distance. The orange glow went out or appeared to vanish.			
Ground Visual				
7. COURSE				
E-S-W	Garan mana and an appearance of the second			
8. FHOTOS				
n Yes				
OX No				
9. PHYSICAL EVIDENCE				
II Yes				

FTD SEP 63 0-329 (TDE) Previous editions of this form may be used.

Balloon Host air)

18 Apr 67 ". Misseapolis, Mico

Headquarters.

934th Troop Carrier Group, Medium (Reserve)
HNTHEDASTATES AIR FORCE
Minhapping-StrPaul International Airport
MNARAPPINGSMinheSota 55417
Minneapolis-S. Pa

	escription of the objects in hardel
1.0	s Shape n Shape was round .
-	. Size compared to a known object About the size of a breadbox
4	. Color Opense glow
1	. Number maidabings varied from one object to as many as three
	. Pormation if more than one Three were sighted at one time
	. Any discrenible features or details Occasionally trailed a stream
	· of flanes or sparks
S	. Pail, Trail, or exhaust, including its size Flame trail was
4	estimated at various lengths up to 200 feet
100	BOOK OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PR
1	. Other pertinent or unusual features Travel was slower than an Sirplane, and changed directions rather abruptly.
3	Granis and Changes Granis Later Control Contro
-	agandation of course of objects
-	9302199101 01 000139 01 0001000
ä	www.What.first called the attention of observer to the object? Numerou sightings of the same objects were reported within a one hour period
ь	. Angle: of elevation of object and azimuth of object when first observe Varied from about 150 above the horizon
	. Angle of elevation of object upon disappearance In most bases it
	. Angle of elevation of object upon disappearance In most bases it a disappeared while at altitude, some lost it over rooftons
0	Angle of elevation of object upon disappearance In most bases it disappeared while at altitude, some lost it over rooftons. Description of flight path and maneuvers of object (elevations in
0	. Angle of elevation of object upon disappearance In Most bases it disappeared while at altitude, some lost it over rooftons
0	Angle of elevation of object upon disappearance In most bases it disappeared while at altitude, some lost it over rooftons. Description of flight path and maneuvers of object (elevations in azimuth, not altitude) Appeared to travel from northeast to west.
0 4	Angle of elevation of object upon disappearance In most bases it disappeared while at altitude, some lost it over rooftons. Description of flight path and maneuvers of object (elevations in azimuth, not altitude) Appeared to travel from northeast to west by southwest with occasional changes in direction. How did, the object disappear? The orange slow went out or
0 4 : 0	Angle of elevation of object upon disappearance In most bases it disappeared while at altitude, some lost it over rooftons. Description of flight path and maneuvers of object (elevations in azimuth, not altitude) Appeared to travel from northeast to west to southwest with occasional changes in direction. How did the object disappear? The orange flow went out or appeared to vests.
0 4 : 0	Angle of elevation of object upon disappearance In most bases it disappeared while at altitude, some lost it over rooftons. Description of flight path and maneuvers of object (elevations in azimuth, not altitude) Appeared to travel from northeast to west. In southwest with occasional changes in direction. How did the object disappear? The orange slow went out or appared to wester. How long was the object visible? Reports indicate that it was
0 4 : 6 4	Angle of elevation of object upon disappearance In most bases it disappeared while at altitude, some lost it over rooftons. Description of flight path and maneuvers of object (elevations in azimuth, not altitude) Appeared to travel from northeast to west to southwest with occasional changes in direction. How did the object disappear? The grange glow went out or appeared to variab. How long was the object visible? Reports indicate that it was visible in various parts of Minneapolis from 2010 to 2050 local times.
14 . 6 . P. O	Angle of elevation of object upon disappearance In most bases it disappeared while at altitude, some lost it over rooftons. Description of flight path and maneuvers of object (elevations in azimuth, not altitude) Appeared to travel from northeast to west in southwest with occasional changes in direction. How did the object disappear? The orange flow went out or appared to wants. How long was the object visible? Reports indicate that it was
0 4 6 4 11	Angle of elevation of object upon disappearance In most bases it disappeared while at altitude, some lost it over rooftens. Description of flight path and maneuvers of object (elevations in azimuth, not altitude) Appeared to travel from northeast to west to southwest with opposite to travel from northeast to west. How did the object disappear? The orange glow went out or appeared to various. How long was the object visible? Reports indicate that it was visible in various parts of Minneapolis from 2010 to 2050 local time anner of observation:
0 4 10 4 11	Angle of elevation of object upon disappearance In most bases it disappeared while at altitude, some lost it over rooftons. Description of flight path and maneuvers of object (elevations in azimuth, not altitude) Appeared to travel from northeast to west to southwest with oppositional changes in direction. How did the object disappear? The orange flow went out or appeared to wanish. How long was the object visible? Reports indicate that it was visible in various parts of Minneapolis from 2010 to 2050 local time anner of observations. Method of observation (ground-visual, air-visual, radar etc.) Visual
0 4 10 4 10 11	Angle of elevation of object upon disappearance In most bases it disappeared while at altitude, some lost it over rooftons. Description of flight path and maneuvers of object (elevations in azimuth, not altitude) Appeared to travel from northeast to west. In southwest with occasional changes in direction. How did the object disappear? The orange glow went out on appared to vacish. How long was the object visible? Reports indicate that it was visible in various parts of Minneapolis from 2010 to 2050 local time anner of observations. Method of observation (ground-visual, air-visual, radar etc.) Visual Mals Fac Gentral towar was unable to observe it visually or with him
0 4 10 11 11	Angle of elevation of object upon disappearance In most bases it disappeared while at altitude, some lost it over rooftons. Description of flight path and maneuvers of object (elevations in azimuth, not altitude) Appeared to travel from northeast to west to southwest with occasional changes in direction. How did the object disappear? The orange flow went out or appeared to waish. How long was the object visible? Reports indicate that it was visible in various parts of Minneapolis from 2010 to 2050 local time anner of observations. Method of observation (ground-visual, air-visual, radar etc.) Visual
0 4 10 4 10 11	Angle of elevation of object upon disappearance In most bases it disappeared while at altitude, some lost it over rooftons. Description of flight path and maneuvers of object (elevations in azimuth, not altitude) Appeared to travel from northeast to west to southwest with occasional changes in direction. How did the object disappear? The grange glow went out or appeared to variety. How long was the object visible? Reports indicate that it was visible in various parts of Minneapolis from 2010 to 2050 local time armer of observation: Method of observation (ground-visual, air-visual, radar etc.) Visual Mols F. Gostrol tower was unable to observe it visually or with his Statement as to optical aids (telescopes, binoculars) None used
0 4 10 4 10 11	Angle of elevation of object upon disappearance In what bases it disappeared while at altitude, some lost it over rooftons. Description of flight path and maneuvers of object (elevations in azimuth, not altitude) Appeared to travel from northeast to west to southwest with accasional changes in direction. How did the object disappear? The grange glow went out or appeared to waish. How long was the object visible? Reports indicate that it was visible in various parts of Minneapolis from 2010 to 2050 local time arms of observations. Method of observation (ground-visual, air-visual, radar etc.) Visually or with his Statement as to optical aids (telescopes, binoculars) None used. If the sighting occurred while airborne, give type of aircraft,
0 14 15 10 0	Angle of elevation of object upon disappearance In most bases it disappeared while at altitude, some lost it over rooftons. Description of flight path and maneuvers of object (elevations in azimuth, not altitude) Appeared to travel from northeast to west to southwest with occasional changes in direction. How did the object disappear? The grange glow went out or appeared to variety. How long was the object visible? Reports indicate that it was visible in various parts of Minneapolis from 2010 to 2050 local time armer of observation: Method of observation (ground-visual, air-visual, radar etc.) Visual Mols F. Gostral towar was mostle to observe it visually or with his Statement as to optical aids (telescopes, binoculars) None used

* S. Greenwich date-time group of sighting and local time 13 pril 67 2010 to 2050 local (0210-02507)

		b. Light conditions (day, night, dawn, dusk) Night
-	5.	Location of observer (position with reference to a known landmark)
	6.	Identifying information on observer:
		a. Civilian: Name On file at 934th TCG Make Mailing address
		Occupation Mostly Stationis at M of M. Education Estimate of reliability Unable to judge reliability
		b. Military: Name None Grade
		Organization Duty Estimate of reliability
	7.	Weather and winds-aloft conditions at time and place of sightings;
		a. Observer's account of weather conditions Sky clear visibility good
		b. Report from nearest AWS of US Weather Bureau Office of wind direction and velocity in degrees and knots at surface, 6,000; 10,000; 16,000; 20,000; 30,000; 50,000; and 80,000 feet, if available. surface 080/09 3,000 050/10; 5,000 020/08; 10,000 320/14
		c. Ceiling Unlimited Visibility Unlimited Amount of cloud cover None Thunderstorms in area and quadrant in which located None
		d. Vertical temperature gradient Standard lapse rate (no inversion)
	8.	Any other unusual activity or condition, meterological, astronomical, or otherwise, that might account for the sighting a check with the M.S. ox Bureau, FAR Flight Service and Control Tower offered no specific cause of the sightings.
	9.	Interception or identification action taken (such action is authorized whenever feasible and in compliance with existing air defense directives)
	10.	Location, approximate altitude, and general direction of flight of any air traffic or balcon releases in the area that might possibly account for the signting: None reported
	12.	Position, title and comments of the preparing officer including his preliminary analysis of the possible cause of the sightings: I have investigated thru the agencies listed in item # 8 and therefore conclude that there objects were most likely hot air baloons with candles in the sact up by pranksters. UPO Project Officer: Capt John M. Wishos, 321th Tro., Carrier Group, Mpls St Paul Int'l art. Minneapplis, Minnesota 55117 Office Phone: Area cole 612 Pa 12915 Ex 533 AUTOVOM: 884-1400 Ext 533